

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/583,358	Applicant(s) HASHIMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner BRIAN CHEW	Art Unit 2195

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>BRIAN CHEW</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>W. Keith Robinson (Reg. No. 59,396)</u> .	(4) _____.

Date of Interview: <u>10 March 2011</u>	Time: <u>9:30AM ET</u>
--	-------------------------------

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
14, 17, 20 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed a brief explanation of the invention, particularly with regards to the first distance being a normal that connects the estimation point and the ideal consumption line. Applicant agreed to amend claims according to examiner amendment on 10 March 2011 to overcome prior art.

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195 /B. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2195	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
--	---